



^B
MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE *lfw*

Attorney Docket No. 252/013

THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Hee-Chun LEE

Serial No. 08/825,582

Filed: March 31, 1997

For: SUBSTRATE BIAS GENERATOR IN SEMICONDUCTOR
MEMORY DEVICE

Previously pending in

Art Unit: 2816

Examiner: T. Cunningham

Confirmation No. 1142

SUBMISSION OF SUBSTITUTE DRAWINGS

MAIL STOP ISSUE FEE
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Kindly substitute the attached three (3) sheets of drawing depicting Figures 1-4, inclusive, for the drawings presently of record. Proposed drawing corrections for Figures 1 and 4 were submitted September 29, 1995 (in parent application Serial No. 08/376,347¹), which corrections were approved in the Office action issued November 2, 1995. For convenience, there are attached copies of the proposed drawing corrections and the first page of the action of November 2, 1995.

Respectfully submitted,


Date:

December 7, 2004


Eugene M. Lee, Reg. No. 32,039

LEE & STERBA, P.C.
1101 WILSON BOULEVARD, SUITE 2000
ARLINGTON, VA 22209
703.525.0978 TEL

¹ Captioned Serial No. 08/825,582 is a File Wrapper Continuation of parent Serial No. 08/376,347.



PETITION and
DEPOSIT ACCOUNT CHARGE AUTHORIZATION

This document and any concurrently filed papers are believed to be timely. Should any extension of the term be required, applicant hereby petitions the Director for such extension and requests that any applicable petition fee be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-1645.

If fee payment is enclosed, this amount is believed to be correct. However, the Director is hereby authorized to charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-1645.

Any additional fee(s) necessary to effect the proper and timely filing of the accompanying-papers may also be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-1645.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
---------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/376,347 01/23/95 LEE

H 213472/P3334

EXAMINER

CUNNINGHAM, T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

B5M1/1102

DAVID W BRINKMAN
CUSHMAN DARBY AND CUSHMAN
1100 NEW YORK AVENUE NW
NINTH FLOOR EAST TOWER
WASHINGTON DC 20005-3918

FEB 02 1993

2504

DATE MAILED:

11/02/95

This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application.
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

DUE ON

Final

☒ This application has been examined ☒ Responsive to communication filed on 9/29/95 ☒ This action is made final.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s), _____ days from the date of this letter.
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133

Part I: THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. | 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. |
| 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. | 4. <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. |
| 5. <input type="checkbox"/> Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474. | 6. <input type="checkbox"/> _____ |

Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION

1. ☒ Claims 1-5 and 7 are pending in the application.

Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration.

2. ☒ Claims 6 has been cancelled.

3. ☐ Claims _____ are allowed.

4. ☒ Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected.

5. ☐ Claims _____ are objected to.

6. ☐ Claims _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

7. ☒ This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.

8. ☐ Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.

9. ☐ The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on _____. Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are ☐ acceptable; ☐ not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948).

10. ☐ The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _____, has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner; ☐ disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).

11. ☒ The proposed drawing correction, filed 9/29/95, has been ☒ approved; ☐ disapproved (see explanation).

12. ☒ Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has ☒ been received ☐ not been received
☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____.

13. ☐ Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

14. ☐ Other